# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	07	MAR	2006
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
52091						
International application No.		International filing date (de	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IL04/00993 29 October 2004 (29.10.20			30 October 2003 (30.10.2003)			
International Paten	t Classification (IPC)	or national classification and	IPC			
IPC: B65B 1/04( 2006.01);A61B 19/00( 2006.01) USPC: 141/2,18,21,27,329;604/416						
	Applicant					
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary						
Exami	Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
		a total of A sheets, inclu				
3. This re	_•	anied by ANNEXES, com				
a	(sent to the applica	int and to the Internationa	l Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:		
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This r	eport contains indica	ations relating to the follow	wing items:			
	Box No. I B	asis of the report				
	Box No. II P	riority				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V R	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or dustrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	ertain documents cited				
	Box No. VII C	ertain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII C	ertain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report				
31 May 2005 (31.05.2005)		15 February 2006 (15.02.2006)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		15 February 2006 (15.02.2006) Authorized officer Shaken The Relate of Steven O. Douglas				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		Steven O Douglas	suror 1900 - See The			
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450				0 9		
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201			Telephone No. 703-3	1080-801		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.		 _
PCT/IL04/00993		

Box No. I Basis of the report
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:
the international application in the language in which it was filed.
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
<ol> <li>With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</li> </ol>
the international application as originally filed/furnished
the description:
pages 1-70 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by
K-7
the claims: pages 71-82 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the drawings:
pages 1-108 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by the received by th
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
the description, pages
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
the description, pages
the claims, Nos.
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."  Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. 1) (April 2005)

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/IL04/00993

Box No.	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	stions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be ally applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. <u>Claims 16-24,34,38 and 43-45.</u>
	because:
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
$\boxtimes$	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 16-24,34,38 and 43-45 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
A multipl	e dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim.
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
•	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL04/00993

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statemen	t			
N	lovelty (N)	Claims 1-15,25-33,35-37,39-42 and 46-63	YES	
		Claims NONE	NO	
Ir	nventive Step (IS)	Claims 1-15,25-33,35-37,39-42 and 46-63	YES	
		Claims NONE	NO	
Ir	ndustrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-15,25-33,35-37,39-42 and 46-63	YES	
		Claims NONE	NO	
<del></del>			<del></del>	

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-15,25-33,35-37,39-42 and 46-63 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a drug mixing system and method inclusive of limitations with respect to at least one of a syringe adaptor and a vial adaptor being vented to atmosphere, as claimed.

Claims 1-15,25-33,35-37,39-42 and 46-63 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.